arXiv:1507.08598v2 [math.AP] 29 Jan 2016

Series solutions to the 3D cauchy problem for some incompressible Navier-Stokes and Euler Equations

Tao Zhang[a], Alatancang[b,*]

[a] *School of Mathematical Sciences of Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot, 010021, China*
[b] *Huhhot University for Nationalities, Hohhot, 010051, China*

Abstract. We utilize undetermined coefficient method and an iterative method to construct the series solutions of the 3D Cauchy problem for a class of incompressible Navier-Stokes and Euler Equations. Then we can turn the Navier-Stokes Equations (Euler Equations) into the Cauchy problem for finitely (infinitely) many ordinary differential equations. We get the finite series solution of the Navier-Stokes Equations. By using some combinatorial identities techniques, we prove that the sum of the solutions to these ordinary differential equations is an infinite series solution of the Euler Equations in some cases.

AMS subject classifications: 35C10, 35Q30, 35Q31

Key words: Iterative method; Navier-Stokes Equations; Euler equations; series solution

1 Introduction

The 3-D incompreesible Navier-Stokes equations can be formulated as the follows:

$$\begin{cases} \text{div } u=0, \\ u_t-\nu\Delta u+(u\cdot\nabla)u+\nabla u=f, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $x=(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in\mathbb{R}^3$, $u=(u_1(x,t),u_2(x,t),u_3(x,t))$ are the components of the three-dimensional velocity field, $p=p(x,t)$ is the pressure of the fluid at a position x, $f=(f_1(x,t),f_2(x,t),f_3(x,t))$ are the components of a given, externally applied force, $\nu>0$ is the viscosity. When $\nu=0$, (1.1) is the 3-D incompreesible Euler equation. The Navier-Stokes and Euler equations usually describe the motion of a fluid in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Constructing exact solutions of them is very important to understand how the real fluid will flow. Lou et al. utilized Bäcklund transformation and Darboux transformation to obtain exact solutions for the Euler equations in the vorticity form [1,2]. By using the separation method, Makino obtained the first radial solutions to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations in 1993 [3]. In 2011 and 2012, Yuen constructed many exact solutions for the Euler equations [4,5]. In 2014, Fan and Yuen obtained a class of nonlinear exact solutions with respect to x for the Euler Equation [6].

---

*Corresponding author. *Email address:* `zhangtaocx@163.com (T. Zhang), alatanca@imu.edu.cn (A. Chen).`

The series solutions of the linear partial differential equations can be obtained by using the superposition principle. However, it is hardly to obtain the exact series solution for any nonlinear partial differential equations. The purpose of this paper is to construct the series solutions of some Euler and Navier-Stokes Equations. The key idea of our method mainly comes from the following properties of the series $\{e^{kx}\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$:

(i) Eigenvalues and eigenvectors: $\frac{\mathrm{d}^j}{\mathrm{d}x^j}e^{kx}=k^je^{kx},\ j,k=0,1,2,\cdots$.

(ii) For any $m_1,m_2=0,1,2,\cdots$, we have $e^{m_1x}e^{m_2x}=e^{(m_1+m_2)x}$, $m_1+m_2\geq\max\{m_1,m_2\}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct the finite series solution of some incompressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. In Section 3, we construct the former infinite series solution of some incompressible Euler equations. Moreover, by using an iterative method with respect to (ii) and some combinatorial identities techniques, we prove that the former solution is an exactly infinite series solution of the Euler Equations in some cases.

2 Finite series solutions of the Euler and Navier-Stokes Equations

In this section, we construct the finite series solution of the following incompressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} u_{jt}+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{3}(u_iu_{jx_i}-\nu u_{jx_ix_i})+p_{x_j}=f_j(x,t),\ j=1,2,3, & (2.1)\\ u_{1x_1}+u_{2x_2}+u_{3x_3}=0,\ x=(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in\mathbb{R}^3,\ t\geq0, & (2.2)\\ u_j(x,0)=\sum\limits_{k=0}^{n}A_{jk}\xi_k,\ f_j(x,t)=\sum\limits_{k=0}^{n}B_{jk}(t)\xi_k,\ j=1,2,3, & (2.3)\end{cases}$$

where $\nu\geq0$, $A_{jk}\in\mathbb{R}$, $B_{jk}(t)\in C[0,+\infty)$, $\xi_k=\exp(k(\lambda_1x_1+\lambda_2x_2+\lambda_3x_3))$, $\lambda_j\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$, $j=1,2,3$, $k=0,1,2,\cdots,n$.

Suppose that (2.1)-(2.3) has a solution in the form:

$$\begin{cases} u_j(x,t)=\sum\limits_{k=0}^{n}T_{jk}(t)\xi_k,\ j=1,2,3;\\ p(x,t)=\sum\limits_{k=0}^{n}T_{4k}(t)\xi_k,\end{cases}\tag{2.4}$$

where $T_{jk}(t)$, $j=1,2,3,4$, $k=0,1,\cdots,n$ are functions to be determined. Then we have:

Remark 2.1. By (2.2) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_jkT_{jk}\xi_k=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\lambda_jkT_{jk}\xi_k=0,$$

Note that the sequence $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$ is linearly independent, so we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3}\lambda_jT_{jk}=0,\ k=1,2,\cdots,n.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} u_i u_{jx_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n} \lambda_i m T_{ik} T_{jm} \xi_{k+m} = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i T_{ik} m T_{jm} \xi_{k+m} = 0,\ \ j=1,2,3.$$

Next we seek $T_{jk}(t)$, $j=1,2,3,4$, $k=0,1,\cdots,n$. Substituting (2.4) into (2.1)-(2.3) we get

$$\begin{cases} T'_{j0} - B_{j0}(t) + \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \left[T'_{jk} + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} \nu \lambda_i^2 k^2 T_{jk} + \lambda_j k T_{4k} - B_{jk}(t) \right] \xi_k = 0,\ \ j=1,2,3, \\ \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} (\lambda_1 T_{1k} + \lambda_2 T_{2k} + \lambda_3 T_{3k}) k \xi_k = 0, \\ u_j(x,0) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n} T_{jk}(0) \xi_k = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n} A_{jk} \xi_k,\ \ j=1,2,3, \end{cases}$$

Note that the sequence $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$ is linearly independent, so we have

$$\begin{cases} T'_{j0} - B_{j0}(t) = 0, \\ T_{j0}(0) = A_{j0}, \end{cases} \quad j=1,2,3, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\begin{cases} T'_{jk} + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} \nu \lambda_i^2 k^2 T_{jk} + \lambda_j k T_{4k} - B_{jk}(t) = 0,\ \ j=1,2,3, \\ \lambda_1 T_{1k} + \lambda_2 T_{2k} + \lambda_3 T_{3k} = 0, \\ T_{jk}(0) = A_{jk},\ \ j=1,2,3, \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

where $k=1,\cdots,n$. For every $k=1,\cdots,n$, the first equation in (2.6) is multiplied by λ_j (j=1,2,3). Together with

$$\lambda_1 T'_{1k} + \lambda_2 T'_{2k} + \lambda_3 T'_{3k} = 0,$$

then we can induce that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} \lambda_j^2 k T_{4k} - \sum_{j=1}^{3} \lambda_j B_{jk}(t) = 0.$$

Finally we obtain

$$\begin{cases} T_{j0}(t) = \int_0^t B_{j,0}(s) \mathrm{d}s + A_{j,0}, \quad j=1,2,3, \\ T_{40} = 1, \\ T_{4k}(t) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{3} \lambda_j B_{jk}(t)}{\sum_{j=1}^{3} \lambda_j^2 k}, \quad k=1,2,\cdots,n, \\ T_{jk}(t) = \exp(M_k(t)) (\int_0^t (B_{jk} - \lambda_j k T_{4k}) \exp(-M_k(s)) \mathrm{d}s + A_{jk}),\ \ j=1,2,3,\ \ k=1,2,\cdots,n, \end{cases}$$

where

$$M_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \nu (\lambda_i k)^2 t - \int_0^t \lambda_i k T_{i0}(s) \mathrm{d}s, \quad k=1,2,\cdots,n.$$

3 Infinite series solution of the Euler Equations

In this section, we consider the Cauchy problems for the following 3D incompressible Euler Equation:

$$\begin{cases} u_{jt} + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} u_i u_{jx_i} + p_{x_j} = 0,\ \ j=1,2,3, \\ u_{1x_1} + u_{2x_2} + u_{3x_3} = 0,\ \ x = (x_1,x_2,x_3) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3,\ t \geq 0, \\ u_j(x,0) = \sum\limits_{k \in \mathbb{N}^3} B_{jk} \varphi_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^3),\ \ j=1,2,3. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+^3=\{(r_1,r_2,r_3)\in\mathbb{R}^3\,|\,r_j\geq 0,\ j=1,2,3\}$, $\varphi_k=\exp(-k_1x_1-k_2x_2-k_3x_3)$, $k=(k_1,k_2,k_3)\in\mathbb{N}^3=\{(k_1,k_2,k_3)\,|\,k_j=0,1,2,\cdots,\ j=1,2,3\}$.

There are a lot of functions can be approximated by the series $\{\varphi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3}$. Next we let

$$TE(U)=\left\{f(x)\in C^\infty(U)\,|\,f(x)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3}A_k\varphi_k,\ \{A_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3}\subseteq\mathbb{R},\ x=(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in U\right\}$$

where $U\subseteq\mathbb{R}^3$. Then by Stone-Weierstrass theorem [7], we have:

Theorem 3.1. If $U\subseteq\mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded closed set, then for any $f(x)\in C(U)$, there exists a sequence $\{f_m(x)\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq TE(U)$ such that

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty}\sup_{x\in U}|f_m(x)-f(x)|=0.$$

Moreover, there exists many functions can be expressed by the series $\{\varphi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in C^\infty(U)$, $j=1,2,3$, $U\subseteq\mathbb{R}^3$, and let $g(x_1,x_2,x_3)=f(e^{-x_1},e^{-x_2},e^{-x_3})$, then we have $g(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in TE(U_0)$, $U_0=\{(x_1,x_2,x_3)\,|\,(e^{-x_1},e^{-x_2},e^{-x_3})\in U\}$.

For example:

Example 3.3.

$$\frac{\sin e^{-x_1}\cos e^{-x_2}}{1-e^{-x_3}}=\sum_{k_1=1}^{+\infty}\sum_{k_2=0}^{+\infty}\sum_{k_3=0}^{+\infty}(-1)^{k_1+k_2-1}\frac{\varphi_{(2k_1-1,2k_2,k_3)}}{(2k_1-1)!(2k_2)!}\in TE(\mathbb{R}^2\oplus(0,+\infty)).$$

Next we seek the following formal series solution of (3.1) :

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} u_j(x,t)=\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3}T_{jk}(t)\varphi_k,\ \ j=1,2,3;\\ p(x,t)=\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3}T_{4k}(t)\varphi_k, \end{array}\right. \tag{3.2}$$

where $T_{jk}(t)$, $j=1,2,3,4$, $k\in\mathbb{N}^3$ are functions to be determined. Then substituting (3.2) into (3.1) we get

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} T'_{m,(0,0,0)}+\sum\limits_{k>(0,0,0)}\left[T'_{mk}-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{3}\sum\limits_{k^{[1]}+k^{[2]}=k}k_j^{[2]}T_{jk^{[1]}}T_{mk^{[2]}}-k_mT_{4k}\right]\varphi_k=0,\ \ m=1,2,3,\\ -\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3}(k_1T_{1k}+k_2T_{2k}+k_3T_{3k})\varphi_k=0,\\ u_j(x,0)=\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3}B_{jk}\varphi_k=\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3}T_{jk}(0)\varphi_k,\ \ j=1,2,3. \end{array}\right.$$

Clearly $\{T_{jk}(t)\,|\,j=1,2,3,4,\ k\in\mathbb{N}^3\}$ should satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} u_j=\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3} T_{jk}(t)\varphi_k\in C(\mathbb{R}^3_+\oplus[0,+\infty)), \quad j=1,2,3, & (3.3)\\ p=\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3} T_{4k}(t)\varphi_k\in C(\mathbb{R}^3_+\oplus[0,+\infty)), & (3.4)\\ u_{jt}=\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3} T'_{jk}(t)\varphi_k\in C(\mathbb{R}^3_+\oplus[0,+\infty)), \quad j=1,2,3, & (3.5)\\ u_{jx_i}=\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3} -k_iT_{jk}(t)\varphi_k\in C(\mathbb{R}^3_+\oplus[0,+\infty)), \quad i,j=1,2,3, & (3.6)\\ p_{x_j}=\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3} -k_jT_{4k}(t)\varphi_k\in C(\mathbb{R}^3_+\oplus[0,+\infty)), \quad j=1,2,3, & (3.7)\\ u_iu_{jx_i}=\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3} \eta_{ijk}\varphi_k\in C(\mathbb{R}^3_+\oplus[0,+\infty)), \quad i,j=1,2,3, & (3.8)\end{cases}$$

where

$$\eta_{ijk}=\sum_{k^{[1]}+k^{[2]}=k} -k_i^{[2]}T_{ik^{[1]}}T_{jk^{[2]}},\ \ k^{[m]}=(k_1^{[m]},k_2^{[m]},k_3^{[m]})\in\mathbb{N}^3,\ \ m=1,2,\ i,j=1,2,3.$$

Note that the sequence $\{\varphi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}^3}$ is linearly independent, so we have:

$$\begin{cases} T'_{j,(0,0,0)}=0, \\ T_{j,(0,0,0)}(0)=B_{j,(0,0,0)}, \end{cases} \quad j=1,2,3, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} T'_{ik}-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{3}k_jT_{j,(0,0,0)}T_{ik}-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{3}\sum\limits_{\substack{k^{[1]}+k^{[2]}=k,\\ k^{[1]},k^{[2]}>(0,0,0)}} k_j^{[2]}T_{jk^{[1]}}T_{ik^{[2]}}-k_iT_{4k}=0,\ \ i=1,2,3,\\ k_1T_{1k}+k_2T_{2k}+k_3T_{3k}=0,\\ T_{jk}(0)=B_{jk}, \qquad j=1,2,3, \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

where $k>(0,0,0)$. For every $k>(0,0,0)$, the first equation in (3.10) is multiplied by k_i (i=1,2,3). Together with

$$k_1T'_{1k}+k_2T'_{2k}+k_3T'_{3k}=0, \qquad k>(0,0,0),$$

then we can induce that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}k_i\sum_{j=1}^{3}\sum_{\substack{k^{[1]}+k^{[2]}=k,\\ k^{[1]},k^{[2]}>(0,0,0)}} k_j^{[2]}T_{jk^{[1]}}T_{ik^{[2]}}+T_{4k}\sum_{i=1}^{3}k_i^2=0,\ \ k>(0,0,0).$$

(where $k^{[1]},k^{[2]}<k$) It follows that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{3}\sum_{\substack{k^{[1]}+k^{[2]}=k,\\ k^{[1]},k^{[2]}>(0,0,0)}} k_i^{[1]}k_j^{[2]}T_{jk^{[1]}}T_{ik^{[2]}}+T_{4k}\sum_{i=1}^{3}k_i^2=0,\ \ k>(0,0,0).$$

Finally we use an simple iterative method to get $T_{jk}(t)$, $j=1,2,3,4$, $k\in\mathbb{N}^3$ by the following order:

(i) We can get the $T_{j,(0,0,0)}(t)$, $j=1,2,3$ by solving (3.9). Moreover, we let $T_{4,(0,0,0)}=1$.

(ii) Base on (i), we solve (3.10) when $|k|=1$, then we can get $T_{jk}(t)$, $|k|=1$, $j=1,2,3,4$.

(iii) Base on (i) and (ii), we solve (3.10) when $|k|=2$, then we can get $T_{jk}(t)$, $|k|=2$, $j=1,2,3,4$.

Where $|k|=k_1+k_2+k_3$. By repeating this process, we can get:

$$\begin{cases} T_{j,(0,0,0)}(t)=B_{j,(0,0,0)}, \qquad j=1,2,3, \\ T_{4,(0,0,0)}=1, \\ T_{4k}(t)=\dfrac{-\sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{3}\sum\limits_{k^{[1]}+k^{[2]}=k,\ k^{[1]},k^{[2]}>(0,0,0)} k_i^{[1]}k_j^{[2]}T_{jk^{[1]}}T_{ik^{[2]}}}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{3}k_i^2}, \quad k>(0,0,0), \\ T_{jk}(t)=\exp(-P_k(t))\left(\int_0^t Q_{jk}(s)\exp(P_k(s))\mathrm{d}s+B_{jk}\right),\ j=1,2,3,\ k>(0,0,0), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} Q_{ik}=\sum\limits_{j=1}^{3}\sum\limits_{k^{[1]}+k^{[2]}=k,\ k^{[1]},k^{[2]}>(0,0,0)} k_j^{[2]}T_{jk^{[1]}}T_{ik^{[2]}}+k_iT_{4k}, \quad i=1,2,3, \\ P_k(t)=\sum\limits_{j=1}^{3}-B_{j,(0,0,0)}k_jt. \end{cases}$$

Clearly we have:

Theorem 3.4. If the series (3.2) we obtain satisfies (3.3)-(3.8), then it is a solution of (3.1).

Next we prove that the series (3.2) we obtain satisfies (3.3)-(3.8) in some cases. First we give some Lemmas.

Lemma 3.5. (Abel identities [8]) For any $n=1,2,\cdots$, we have

(i) $\sum\limits_{k=0}^{n}\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}(x+k)^{k-1}(y+n-k)^{n-k}=x^{-1}(x+y+n)^n$,

(ii) $\sum\limits_{k=0}^{n}\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}(x+k)^{k-1}(y+n-k)^{n-k-1}=(x^{-1}+y^{-1})(x+y+n)^{n-1}$.

Corollary 3.6. For any $k=1,2,\cdots$, we have

(i) $\sum\limits_{m=1}^{k}\frac{(k+1)!}{m!(k+1-m)!}m^m(k+1-m)^{k-m}=k(k+1)^k$,

(ii) $\sum\limits_{m=1}^{k}\frac{(k+1)!}{m!(k+1-m)!}m^{m-1}(k+1-m)^{k-m}=2k(k+1)^{k-1}\leq 2(k+1)^k$.

Proof By Lemma 3.5 (i) we can induce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^{k+1}\frac{(k+1)!}{m!(k+1-m)!}(x+m)^{m-1}(y+k+1-m)^{k+1-m} \\ =&\sum_{m=1}^{k}\frac{(k+1)!}{m!(k+1-m)!}(x+m)^{m-1}(y+k+1-m)^{k+1-m}+x^{-1}(y+k+1)^{k+1}+(x+k+1)^k \\ =&x^{-1}(x+y+k+1)^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$=x^{-1}(y+k+1)^{k+1}+(k+1)(y+k+1)^{k}+\sum_{m=2}^{k+1}\frac{(k+1)!}{m!(k+1-m)!}x^{m-1}(y+k+1)^{k+1-m}.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k}\frac{(k+1)!}{m!(k+1-m)!}(x+m)^{m-1}(y+k+1-m)^{k+1-m}+(x+k+1)^{k}$$
$$=(k+1)(y+k+1)^{k}+\sum_{m=2}^{k+1}\frac{(k+1)!}{m!(k+1-m)!}x^{m-1}(y+k+1)^{k+1-m}.$$

Let x=y=0, we get

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k}\frac{(k+1)!}{m!(k+1-m)!}m^{m-1}(k+1-m)^{k+1-m}=\sum_{m=1}^{k}\frac{(k+1)!}{m!(k+1-m)!}m^{m}(k+1-m)^{k-m}=k(k+1)^{k}.$$

Similarly, we can get (ii).

Corollary 3.7. For any $k_j=1,2,\cdots$, $j=1,2,3$, we have

$$\sum_{1\le m_i\le k_i,\ i=1,2,3} m_1\prod_{j=1}^{3}\frac{m_j^{m_j-1}(k_j+1-m_j)^{k_j-m_j}}{m_j!(k_j+1-m_j)!}\le 4k_1\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{(k_j+1)^{k_j}}{(k_j+1)!}.$$

Lemma 3.8. If $B_{j,(0,0,0)}\le -2$, $j=1,2,3$, $\epsilon>0$, and if

$$|B_{jk}|\le\frac{e^{-|k|(1+\epsilon)}}{10^3}\prod_{j=1,2,3,\ k_j>0}\frac{k_j^{k_j-1}}{k_j!},\qquad k>(0,0,0),\ j=1,2,3.$$

Then we have

$$|T_{ik}(t)|\le\frac{1}{100}\prod_{j=1,2,3,\ k_j>0}\frac{k_j^{k_j-1}}{k_j!}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}P_k(t)-|k|(1+\epsilon)\right),\quad k>(0,0,0),\ i=1,2,3.\tag{3.11}$$

Proof We prove (3.11) by the induction method. By a simple calculate we can induce that (3.11) holds when $|k|=1,2$. Suppose that it holds for any $|k|<n$ $(n>2)$, then by Corollary 3.6 and 3.7, for any $k=(k_1,k_2,k_3)\ge(1,1,1)$, $|k|=n$(without loss of generality we suppose that $k_1\ge k_2,k_3$), we have

$$|T_{4k}(t)|\le\frac{\sum\limits_{m,j=1}^{3}\sum\limits_{k^{[1]}+k^{[2]}=k,\ k^{[1]},k^{[2]}>(0,0,0)}k_m^{[1]}k_j^{[2]}\left|T_{jk^{[1]}}\right||T_{mk^{[2]}}|}{\sum\limits_{m=1}^{3}k_m^2}$$
$$\le\frac{3}{10^4}\sum_{(0,0,0)<(m_1,m_2,m_3)\le k}\prod_{j=1}^{3}\frac{m_j^{m_j-1}(k_j-m_j)^{k_j-m_j-1}}{m_j!(k_j-m_j)!}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}P_k(t)-|k|(1+\epsilon))$$
$$\le\frac{60}{10^4}\prod_{j=1}^{3}\frac{k_j^{k_j-1}}{k_j!}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}P_k(t)-|k|(1+\epsilon)\right),$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|Q_{jk}(s)| &\le \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k^{[1]}+k^{[2]}=k,\ k^{[1]},k^{[2]}>(0,0,0)} k_j^{[2]} |T_{jk^{[1]}}(s)||T_{mk^{[2]}}(s)| + k_j |T_{4k}(s)| \\
&\le \frac{90k_1}{10^4} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k_j^{k_j-1}}{k_j!} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}P_k(t) - |k|(1+\epsilon)\right), \quad j=1,2,3, \\
|T_{jk}(t)| &\le \exp(-P_k(t)) \left(\int_0^t |Q_{jk}(s)| \exp(P_k(s)) \mathrm{d}s + |B_{jk}|\right) \\
&\le \frac{1}{100} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{k_j^{k_j-1}}{k_j!} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}P_k(t) - |k|(1+\epsilon)\right), \quad j=1,2,3.
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar way, we can prove that (3.11) holds for any $k=(k_1,k_2,k_3)\in\mathbb{N}^3$ with $k_1=0$ or $k_2=0$ or $k_3=0$.

Theorem 3.9. If $B_{j,(0,0,0)} \le -2$, $j=1,2,3$, $\epsilon>0$, and if

$$
|B_{jk}| \le \frac{e^{-|k|(1+\epsilon)}}{10^3} \prod_{j=1,2,3,\ k_j>0} \frac{k_j^{k_j-1}}{k_j!}, \qquad k>(0,0,0),\ j=1,2,3.
$$

Then there exists a series solution of (3.1) satisfying (3.3)- (3.8).

Proof We only need to prove that the series (3.2) we obtain satisfies (3.3)- (3.8). Note that $\frac{n^m}{m!} \le e^n$, $m,n=1,2,\cdots$, so we have

$$
\begin{cases}
|T_{ik}(t)\varphi_k| \le \frac{1}{100}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}P_k(t) - |k|\epsilon\right)\varphi_k < \frac{1}{100}e^{-|k|\epsilon}, & k>(0,0,0),\ i=1,2,3, \\
|T_{4k}(t)\varphi_k| \le \frac{60}{10^4}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}P_k(t) - |k|\epsilon\right)\varphi_k < \frac{60}{10^4}e^{-|k|\epsilon}, & k>(0,0,0).
\end{cases}
$$

Hence the series (3.2) converges absolutely on $\mathbb{R}^3_+ \oplus [0,+\infty)$. It means that the series (3.2) continuous on $\mathbb{R}^3_+ \oplus [0,+\infty)$. Furthermore, we can prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T'_{ik}\varphi_k| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k^{[1]}+k^{[2]}=k} k_j^{[2]} T_{jk^{[1]}} T_{ik^{[2]}} + k_i T_{4k} \right| |\varphi_k| \\
&\le \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^{3} B_{j,(0,0,0)} k_j \right| |T_{ik}| + |Q_{ik}| \right) \varphi_k \\
&\le \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^{3} B_{j,(0,0,0)} k_j \right| + \frac{90|k|}{10^4} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}P_k(t) - |k|\epsilon\right) \varphi_k, \qquad i=1,2,3,\ k>(0,0,0), \\
|k_j T_{4k}\varphi_k| &\le \frac{60|k|}{10^4} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}P_k(t) - |k|\epsilon)\varphi_k, \qquad j=1,2,3,\ k>(0,0,0), \\
|\eta_{ijk}\varphi_k| &\le \frac{30|k|}{10^4} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}P_k(t) - |k|\epsilon)\varphi_k, \qquad i,j=1,2,3,\ k>(0,0,0).
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we can induce that the series (3.2) we obtain satisfies (3.5)-(3.8). Therefore it is a solution of (3.1) by Theorem 3.4.

Acknowledgments

The paper is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11371185) and the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia, China (no. 2013ZD01).

References

[1] S.Y. Lou, M. Jia, X.Y. Tang, F. Huang, Vortices, circumfuence, symmetry groups, and Darboux transformations of the (2+1)-dimensional Euler equation, Phys. Rev. E 75 (2007) 056311.

[2] S.Y. Lou, M. Jia, F. Huang, X.Y. Tang, Bäcklund transformations, solitary waves, conoid wave and Bessel wave of the (2+1)-dimensional Euler equation, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 46 (2007) 2082-2095.

[3] T. Makino, Exact solutions for the compressible Euler equation, J. Osaka Sangyo Univ. Nat. Sci. 95 (1993) 21-35.

[4] M.W. Yuen, Exact, rotational, infinite energy, bolwup solutions to the 3-dimentional Euler equations, Phys. Lett. A 375 (2011) 3107-3113.

[5] M.W. Yuen, Self-similar solutions with elliptic symmetry for the compressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations in R^N, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 12 (2012) 4524-4528.

[6] E.G. Fan, M.W. Yuen, Similarity reductions and new nonlinear exact solutions for the 2D incompressible Euler equations, Phys. Lett. A 378 (2014) 623-626.

[7] W. Rudin, Principles of mathematical analysis. New York, 1964.

[8] J. Riordan, Combinatorial Identities, 1968.